

## TRANSPORT BEHAVIOUR OF IONS IN *N,N*-DIMETHYLFORMAMIDE AND DIMETHYL SULFOXIDE AT DIFFERENT TEMPERATURES. CONDUCTANCE STUDIES

Mohinder S. CHAUHAN\*, Manita SHARMA, Sanjay GUPTA, K. ASHWINI  
and Suvarcha CHAUHAN

*Department of Chemistry,  
Himachal Pradesh University, Summer Hill, Shimla-171 005, India*

Received July 13, 1993  
Accepted November 11, 1994

Molar conductivities of  $\text{Bu}_4\text{NBPh}_4$ ,  $\text{BuNNO}_3$ ,  $\text{LiNO}_3$ ,  $\text{NaNO}_3$ ,  $\text{KNO}_3$  and  $\text{AgNO}_3$  have been reported in pure DMF and DMSO in the temperature range from 20 to 45 °C. The conductance data have been analyzed in terms of limiting molar conductivity  $\Lambda_0$  and ion-association constant  $K_A$ . Separation of  $\Lambda_0$  into ionic components  $\lambda_i^0$  has been carried out on the basis of  $\text{Bu}_4\text{NBPh}_4$  assumption in order to calculate the effective ionic radii  $r_i$  in DMF and DMSO. Examination of  $r_i$  values of  $\text{K}^+$  and  $\text{Ag}^+$  ions as a function of temperature revealed some specific interactions of  $\text{Ag}^+$  ion with DMF and DMSO. In DMSO electrostatic ion-dipole interaction of  $\text{Li}^+$ ,  $\text{Na}^+$  and  $\text{K}^+$  ions is found to be somewhat modified due to dipole-dipole interaction.

In dipolar aprotic solvents, solvation of small closed electron-shell cations like  $\text{Na}^+$  or  $\text{K}^+$  is attributed to electrostatic effects<sup>1</sup>. Solvation of  $\text{Ag}^+$  ion, a transition metal cation of  $d^{10}$  electronic structure, is, however, also described in terms of specific interactions with electron donors like *N,N*-dimethylformamide (DMF), dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), acetonitrile (AN), *N*-methylpyrrolidine (NMPy), formamide and hexamethylphosphotriamide (HMPT). Since crystallographic radius of  $\text{Ag}^+$  ion<sup>2</sup> (0.126 nm) is fairly close to that of  $\text{K}^+$  ion<sup>2</sup> (0.133 nm), the specific interactions of  $\text{Ag}^+$  ion reinforce its electrostatic interaction. Ion-solvent interaction of  $\text{Ag}^+$  ion in such solvents is thus expected to be stronger than that of  $\text{K}^+$  ion.

It is, therefore, interesting to extend these considerations to a solvation study of ions with special reference to  $\text{K}^+$  and  $\text{Ag}^+$  ions in pure DMF and DMSO in temperature range of 20 to 45 °C using conductance measurements. The choice of different temperatures have been made in order to facilitate the possible difference of ion solvation with regard to the specific interactions.

\* The author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

## EXPERIMENTAL

### Solvents

DMF and DMSO (AR grade) were purified as described earlier<sup>3</sup>. The middle fractions of distilled DMF and DMSO of specific conductance in the range of  $1 - 7 \cdot 10^{-8} \text{ S cm}^{-1}$  were collected and stored with pre-dried 4 Å molecular sieves.

### Salts

Lithium nitrate, sodium nitrate and potassium nitrate, all AR grade, were dried under vacuum for about 36 h at 100 – 150 °C, while silver nitrate (Merck) was dried under vacuum for about 24 h before use. Pure samples of tetrabutylammonium nitrate ( $\text{Bu}_4\text{NNO}_3$ ) and tetrabutylammonium tetraphenylborate ( $\text{Bu}_4\text{NBPh}_4$ ) were synthesized as suggested by Fuoss et al.<sup>4,5</sup> and kept under vacuum for 2 – 3 days before use.

### Conductance Measurements

The conductivity of electrolyte solutions were measured at 1 kHz with a digital conductivitymeter type NDC-732 (Naina Electronics) in two conductivity cells with the cell constants of 0.568 and 0.268 cm<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Cell constants at 25 °C were determined with aqueous potassium chloride solution in the concentration range of  $(5 - 100) \cdot 10^{-4} \text{ mol l}^{-1}$  using the method proposed by Fuoss et al.<sup>6</sup>. The conductivity cells with bright platinum electrodes were similar in design as cells used by Shedlovsky<sup>7</sup>. The conductivity measurements were carried out in a water thermostat (MLW-Baureihe U7C) with the accuracy of  $\pm 0.05$  °C. The precision of conductivity data was found to be within  $\pm 0.2\%$ .

### Viscosity, Density and Dielectric Constant Measurements

Viscosity measurements were carried out in a water thermostat with accuracy of  $\pm 0.1$  °C using a calibrated Ubbelohde suspended bulb viscometer. The precision of efflux times was  $\pm 0.2$  s. Overall accuracy of viscosity measurements was found to be  $\pm 0.1\%$ .

Density measurements were made in a water thermostat with the accuracy of  $\pm 0.05$  °C using two different calibrated sealable pycnometers of capacity 20 and 25 ml. The average value of 4 – 5 readings at each temperature is reported. Overall accuracy of density measurements was estimated to be  $\pm 0.1\%$ .

The dielectric constants of pure DMF and DMSO in range of temperatures from 20 to 45 °C were obtained by interpolation using data for DMF reported by Leader and Gomley<sup>8</sup>, and for DMSO by Yao and Bennion<sup>9</sup>.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Molar concentration,  $C$  and corresponding molar conductivity,  $\Lambda$  of  $\text{Bu}_4\text{NBPh}_4$ ,  $\text{Bu}_4\text{NNO}_3$ ,  $\text{LiNO}_3$ ,  $\text{NaNO}_3$ ,  $\text{KNO}_3$  and  $\text{AgNO}_3$  in pure DMF and DMSO at 20, 25, 30, 35, 40 and 45 °C have been reported in Table I and Table II, respectively. The analysis of conductivity data in terms of limiting molar conductivity  $\Lambda_0$  and ion-association constant  $K_A$  of electrolyte have been carried out iteratively according to the Shedlovsky equation<sup>10,11</sup> by the least squares treatment. Dielectric constant  $D$  and viscosity  $\eta_0$  used

TABLE I

Molar concentration,  $C$  (mol l<sup>-1</sup>) and the corresponding molar conductivity  $\Lambda$  (S cm<sup>2</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup>) of some electrolytes in DMF at different temperatures

20 °C		25 °C		30 °C		35 °C		40 °C		45 °C	
$C \cdot 10^4$	$\Lambda$	$C \cdot 10^4$	$\Lambda$	$C \cdot 10^4$	$\Lambda$	$C \cdot 10^4$	$\Lambda$	$C \cdot 10^4$	$\Lambda$	$C \cdot 10^4$	$\Lambda$
$\text{Bu}_4\text{NBPh}_4$											
5.17	46.5	5.806	49.7	5.17	52.3	4.80	56.4	8.210	58.2	5.170	62.4
10.21	45.1	11.50	47.6	10.21	51.1	9.50	55.1	12.20	57.5	12.20	60.7
15.14	44.6	17.96	46.4	15.14	50.1	15.26	54.3	15.14	57.0	18.03	60.1
20.89	43.8	25.25	45.6	20.89	49.0	20.88	53.9	26.48	55.4	20.89	59.6
29.22	43.0	33.19	44.6	29.22	48.2	27.44	53.0	29.22	54.6	26.48	58.9
31.92	42.5	43.44	43.8	31.92	48.0	25.91	52.2	35.46	54.1	31.92	58.2
35.46	42.4	53.31	42.9	38.07	47.3	44.08	51.5	38.07	53.8	35.46	57.6
38.07	42.0	57.00	42.4	44.04	46.9	48.09	51.1	44.04	53.1	44.04	57.2
$\text{Bu}_4\text{NNO}_3$											
4.87	74.0	4.87	81.4	4.87	85.0	4.87	85.9	4.87	90.6	4.87	95.1
7.13	73.1	9.67	79.6	9.67	82.5	9.87	83.4	9.67	87.8	9.67	92.2
10.54	72.0	14.39	78.2	14.39	80.6	14.39	81.4	14.39	85.6	14.39	89.8
13.85	70.9	21.34	76.7	21.34	78.7	21.34	79.2	21.34	83.1	21.34	87.2
17.07	69.9	28.12	75.3	28.12	76.8	28.12	77.1	28.12	80.9	28.12	85.1
20.72	68.7	34.76	74.1	34.76	75.4	34.76	75.8	34.76	79.0	34.76	83.0
31.92	66.4	42.31	73.1	42.39	73.7	42.39	74.0	42.39	77.2	42.39	81.1
40.79	65.1	49.66	71.9	49.66	72.0	49.66	72.4	49.66	75.5	49.66	79.3
$\text{NaNO}_3$											
5.87	76.9	4.84	8.12	4.84	86.1	4.84	91.2	4.84	96.4	4.84	102.9
11.53	74.7	10.00	78.5	10.00	83.5	10.00	88.0	10.00	93.00	10.00	99.0
17.13	73.2	14.89	76.7	14.89	81.6	14.89	85.6	14.89	90.5	14.89	96.2
25.33	71.4	22.08	74.8	22.08	79.4	22.08	83.1	22.08	87.3	22.08	92.7
33.30	69.9	29.10	72.8	29.10	77.7	29.10	80.9	29.10	85.0	29.10	90.4
41.04	67.7	35.96	71.2	35.96	75.9	35.96	78.9	35.96	82.9	35.96	87.8
49.80	66.6	43.77	69.8	43.77	74.1	43.77	77.1	43.77	81.1	43.77	85.5
58.29	65.3	51.38	68.4	51.38	72.6	51.38	75.3	51.38	79.2	51.38	83.6

TABLE I  
(Continued)

20 °C		25 °C		30 °C		35 °C		40 °C		45 °C	
$C \cdot 10^4$	$\Lambda$	$C \cdot 10^4$	$\Lambda$	$C \cdot 10^4$	$\Lambda$	$C \cdot 10^4$	$\Lambda$	$C \cdot 10^4$	$\Lambda$	$C \cdot 10^4$	$\Lambda$
$\text{KNO}_3$											
4.71	79.4	4.71	84.5	4.71	89.7	4.71	93.6	4.71	100.2	4.71	105.4
9.97	77.3	9.97	82.3	9.97	87.5	9.97	91.3	9.97	97.3	9.97	102.3
14.81	76.1	14.81	80.9	14.81	85.6	14.81	89.8	14.81	95.6	14.81	100.3
21.89	74.2	21.89	79.4	21.89	83.7	21.89	87.7	21.89	93.1	21.89	97.5
28.78	72.5	28.78	77.6	28.78	82.2	28.78	85.9	28.78	90.9	28.78	95.1
35.47	71.4	35.47	76.2	35.47	80.7	35.47	84.4	35.47	89.4	35.47	93.1
43.05	70.0	43.05	75.0	43.05	79.1	43.05	82.7	43.05	87.5	43.05	91.1
50.38	69.3	50.38	73.8	50.38	77.8	50.38	81.4	50.38	86.1	50.38	89.6
$\text{AgNO}_3$											
4.93	74.8	4.93	77.7	3.71	83.7	3.71	89.0	3.71	93.4	3.71	97.3
9.79	70.0	9.79	74.6	7.37	78.4	7.37	82.8	7.37	87.0	7.37	90.5
14.57	64.2	14.57	70.7	10.99	74.6	10.99	78.8	10.99	83.1	10.99	85.6
21.60	59.4	21.60	67.1	14.57	71.0	14.57	75.4	14.57	78.7	14.57	82.2
28.47	55.2	28.47	63.4	19.28	66.5	19.28	70.1	19.28	73.8	19.28	76.7
35.19	51.3	35.19	60.0	23.91	63.3	23.91	66.1	23.91	69.8	23.91	72.6
42.83	47.3	42.83	56.4	29.60	59.6	29.60	62.7	29.60	66.4	29.60	68.5
50.28	44.4	50.28	53.2	35.19	56.5	35.19	59.4	35.19	62.7	35.19	65.1

for the analysis of the presented conductivity data are reported in Table III. The values of  $\Lambda_0$  and  $K_A$  obtained for various electrolytes have been reported in Table IV.

Except for  $\text{Bu}_4\text{NBPh}_4$  and  $\text{AgNO}_3$  in DMF at 25 °C, the  $\Lambda_0$  values for rest of the electrolytes reported in Table IV were in good agreement with literature.

### *Limiting Ionic Conductivity $\lambda_i^0$ and Effective Ionic Radii, $r_i$ in DMF and DMSO*

Separation of limiting molar conductivity  $\Lambda_0$  into limiting ionic conductivity  $\lambda_i^0$  has been achieved on the basis of  $\text{Bu}_4\text{NBPh}_4$  assumption<sup>12</sup> using Eqs (1) and (2),

TABLE II

Molar concentration,  $C$  (mol l<sup>-1</sup>) and the corresponding molar conductivity  $\Lambda$  (S cm<sup>2</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup>) of some electrolytes in DMSO at different temperatures

20 °C		25 °C		30 °C		35 °C		40 °C		45 °C	
$C \cdot 10^4$	$\Lambda$	$C \cdot 10^4$	$\Lambda$	$C \cdot 10^4$	$\Lambda$	$C \cdot 10^4$	$\Lambda$	$C \cdot 10^4$	$\Lambda$	$C \cdot 10^4$	$\Lambda$
$\text{Bu}_4\text{NBPh}_4$											
5.07	20.2	4.62	22.2	5.07	24.5	4.66	26.7	8.06	29.0	5.07	32.1
10.02	19.5	9.15	21.8	10.02	24.0	9.23	26.0	10.02	28.7	10.02	31.1
14.85	19.2	13.59	21.5	13.90	23.7	13.71	25.5	14.85	27.9	14.85	30.2
20.50	18.7	20.09	21.2	17.69	23.2	20.26	24.9	17.70	27.5	17.70	29.6
25.99	18.5	26.42	20.8	21.42	23.0	26.69	24.5	25.99	26.8	20.50	29.5
31.32	18.3	34.57	20.2	26.89	22.9	34.86	24.0	31.32	26.4	23.26	29.1
34.80	18.2	42.42	20.0	32.20	22.7	42.78	23.6	34.80	26.0	28.67	28.7
40.73	17.9	47.45	19.9	44.03	21.8	47.53	23.5	40.73	25.6	37.36	28.1
$\text{Bu}_4\text{NNO}_3$											
4.87	33.4	4.87	37.5	4.87	41.3	4.87	45.1	4.87	47.0	4.87	51.3
9.55	33.0	9.67	37.0	9.67	40.7	9.67	44.2	9.67	46.3	9.67	50.6
14.18	32.1	14.39	36.5	14.39	40.2	14.39	43.5	14.39	45.6	14.39	50.1
20.96	31.4	21.34	35.9	21.34	39.6	21.34	42.6	21.34	45.0	21.34	49.5
27.56	30.8	28.12	35.4	28.12	39.2	28.12	42.0	28.12	44.6	28.12	48.9
33.56	30.5	34.76	34.9	34.76	39.0	34.76	41.5	34.76	44.0	34.76	48.5
41.22	30.0	42.36	34.5	42.31	38.6	42.31	41.0	42.31	43.6	42.31	48.0
48.25	29.4	49.66	34.2	49.66	38.3	49.66	40.5	49.66	43.2	49.66	47.5
$\text{LiNO}_3$											
4.51	33.8	5.25	36.7	5.25	40.8	4.51	44.4	4.51	49.0	4.51	51.6
8.98	33.5	10.41	36.5	10.41	40.3	8.98	43.8	8.98	48.2	8.98	51.1
15.59	33.0	15.50	36.1	15.50	39.9	15.50	43.2	15.50	47.4	15.50	50.7
22.12	32.6	22.97	35.8	22.97	39.5	22.97	42.7	22.97	46.7	22.97	50.2
30.66	32.3	30.28	35.3	30.28	39.1	30.28	42.2	30.28	46.0	30.28	49.7
39.05	31.8	37.42	35.0	37.42	38.7	37.42	41.8	37.42	45.5	37.42	49.1
49.30	31.6	45.55	34.9	45.55	38.5	45.55	41.5	45.55	45.0	45.55	48.8
59.32	31.1	53.48	34.6	53.48	38.0	53.48	41.1	53.48	44.4	53.48	48.2

TABLE II  
(Continued)

20 °C		25 °C		30 °C		35 °C		40 °C		45 °C	
$C \cdot 10^4$	$\Lambda$	$C \cdot 10^4$	$\Lambda$	$C \cdot 10^4$	$\Lambda$	$C \cdot 10^4$	$\Lambda$	$C \cdot 10^4$	$\Lambda$	$C \cdot 10^4$	$\Lambda$
$\text{NaNO}_3$											
5.82	37.5	4.99	39.1	4.99	43.6	4.99	47.6	4.99	49.7	4.99	54.1
11.53	37.0	9.91	38.1	9.91	42.9	9.91	46.7	9.91	48.6	9.91	52.6
17.13	36.4	14.74	37.4	14.74	42.3	14.74	45.9	14.74	47.8	14.74	51.7
25.33	35.8	21.86	36.7	21.86	41.5	21.86	45.1	21.86	46.8	21.86	50.3
33.30	35.1	28.81	36.0	28.81	41.0	28.81	44.4	28.81	45.9	28.81	49.5
41.04	33.0	35.60	35.5	35.60	40.5	35.60	43.8	35.60	45.1	35.60	48.7
49.80	32.5	43.34	35.1	43.34	39.8	43.34	43.2	43.34	44.4	43.34	47.7
58.29	32.2	50.88	34.6	50.88	39.3	50.88	42.5	50.88	43.7	50.88	46.9
$\text{KNO}_3$											
5.04	37.6	4.92	40.3	4.92	44.5	4.92	48.5	4.92	52.5	4.92	56.6
9.99	37.0	9.75	39.8	9.75	43.8	9.75	47.8	9.75	51.8	9.75	56.0
14.84	36.8	14.52	39.4	14.52	43.2	14.52	47.2	14.52	51.1	14.52	55.0
21.94	36.4	21.52	38.8	21.52	42.6	21.52	46.6	21.52	50.4	21.52	54.2
28.83	36.2	28.37	38.4	28.37	42.1	28.37	46.0	28.37	49.9	28.37	53.5
35.54	34.9	35.06	38.0	35.06	41.6	35.06	45.6	35.06	49.3	35.06	53.0
43.13	34.7	42.68	37.6	42.68	41.1	42.68	45.1	42.68	48.8	42.68	52.2
50.48	34.4	50.10	37.3	50.10	40.7	50.10	44.7	50.10	48.4	50.10	52.0
$\text{AgNO}_3$											
4.99	37.5	4.99	41.8	4.99	45.4	4.99	49.8	4.99	52.7	4.99	56.8
4.90	36.9	9.90	41.2	9.90	44.6	9.90	49.0	9.90	51.6	9.90	55.8
14.74	36.4	14.74	40.5	14.74	44.1	14.74	48.3	14.74	50.7	14.74	55.1
21.85	35.8	21.85	39.9	21.85	43.2	21.85	47.4	21.85	49.9	21.85	54.4
28.80	35.3	28.80	39.4	28.80	42.6	28.80	46.8	28.80	49.2	28.80	53.3
35.59	34.9	35.59	39.0	35.59	42.1	35.59	46.2	35.59	48.7	35.59	52.5
43.32	34.4	43.32	38.4	43.32	41.6	43.32	45.7	43.32	47.9	43.32	51.8
50.85	34.0	50.85	38.0	50.85	41.1	50.85	45.1	50.85	47.2	50.85	51.2

$$\frac{\lambda_{\text{Bu}_4\text{N}^+}^0}{\lambda_{\text{Ph}_4\text{B}^-}^0} = \frac{0.535 - (0.0103 D + r_y)}{0.500 - (0.0703 D + r_y)} \quad (1)$$

$$\Lambda_0(\text{Bu}_4\text{NBPh}_4) = \lambda_{\text{Bu}_4\text{N}^+}^0 + \lambda_{\text{Ph}_4\text{B}^-}^0, \quad (2)$$

where 0.535 and 0.500 are the crystallographic radii of  $\text{Ph}_4\text{B}^-$  and  $\text{Bu}_4\text{N}^+$  ions, respectively,  $D$  is the dielectric constant of the solvent and  $r_y$  is an adjustable parameter taken to be equal to 0.085 nm for DMF and 0.113 nm for DMSO (ref.<sup>12</sup>). The values of  $\text{Ph}_4\text{B}^-$  and  $\text{Bu}_4\text{N}^+$  ions in DMF and DMSO in the range of temperature between 20 and 45 °C have been reported in Table V.

The  $\lambda_i^0$  values of  $\text{Li}^+$ ,  $\text{Na}^+$ ,  $\text{K}^+$ ,  $\text{Ag}^+$  and  $\text{NO}_3^-$  ions shown in Table V were then obtained from the  $\Lambda_0$  values (Table IV) using Kohlrausch's law of independent limiting ionic conductivity. The  $\lambda_i^0$  values for  $\text{NO}_3^-$  ion in DMF for temperatures from 20 to 40 °C were determined by Gill and Bakshi<sup>13</sup> and are reported in Table V, as well as  $\lambda_i^0$  values for  $\text{Na}^+$  ion in DMSO in temperature range of 25 to 45 °C, determined by Yao and Bennion<sup>9</sup>. A good agreement between these two sets of  $\lambda_i^0$  values for  $\text{NO}_3^-$  and  $\text{Na}^+$  ions at different temperatures indicate the accuracy of the presented conductivity data.

Using  $\lambda_i^0$  values for  $\text{Li}^+$ ,  $\text{Na}^+$ ,  $\text{K}^+$ ,  $\text{Ag}^+$ ,  $\text{Ph}_4\text{B}^-$ ,  $\text{NO}_3^-$  and  $\text{Bu}_4\text{N}^+$  ions from Table V, the effective ionic radii  $r_i$  in DMF and DMSO are calculated by Eq. (3).

TABLE III

Viscosity  $\eta_0$  ( $\text{kg m}^{-1} \text{S}^{-1}$ ), dielectric constant  $D$ , and density  $d$  ( $\text{kg m}^{-3}$ ) of DMF and DMSO at different temperatures

Temperature °C	DMF			DMSO		
	$\eta_0 \cdot 10^3$	$D^a$	$d \cdot 10^{-3}$	$\eta_0 \cdot 10^3$	$D^a$	$d \cdot 10^{-3}$
20	0.849	37.7	0.9483	2.215	47.2	1.1012
25	0.802	36.7	0.9435	1.996	46.4	1.0949
30	0.755	35.9	0.9394	1.803	45.6	1.0914
35	0.710	35.1	0.9344	1.645	44.7	1.0846
40	0.669	34.4	0.9300	1.488	44.1	1.0800
45	0.633	33.4	0.9252	1.385	43.3	1.0758

<sup>a</sup> Interpolated using data from ref.<sup>8</sup> for DMF and from ref.<sup>9</sup> for DMSO.

TABLE IV

Molar conductivity  $\Lambda_0$  ( $\text{S cm}^2 \text{ mol}^{-1}$ ) and ion-association constant  $K_A$  ( $1 \text{ mol}^{-1}$ ) for electrolytes in DMF and DMSO at different temperatures

Electrolyte	20 °C			25 °C			30 °C			35 °C			40 °C			45 °C		
	$\Lambda_0$	$K_A$	$\Lambda_0$	$K_A$	$\Lambda_0$	$K_A$	$\Lambda_0$	$K_A$	$\Lambda_0$	$K_A$	$\Lambda_0$	$K_A$	$\Lambda_0$	$K_A$	$\Lambda_0$	$K_A$		
DMF																		
Bu <sub>4</sub> NBPh <sub>4</sub>	49.2	—	52.2 (50.7) <sup>a</sup>	—	55.4	—	59.0	—	62.8	—	66.2	—	66.2	—	66.2	—	66.2	
Bu <sub>4</sub> NNO <sub>3</sub>	79.1	12	83.0 (82.6) <sup>a</sup>	23	87.1	33	92.2	36	97.2	43	102.1	45	102.1	45	102.1	45	102.1	
LiNO <sub>3</sub>	78.0	11	82.0 (82.3) <sup>b</sup>	20	86.2	27	92.2	29	104.0	31	110.1	31	110.1	31	110.1	31	110.1	
NaNO <sub>3</sub>	82.1	29	87.5 (87.2) <sup>b</sup>	31	91.1	36	97.3	37	103.3	38	110.2	44	110.2	44	110.2	44	110.2	
KNO <sub>3</sub>	83.3	15	88.5 (88.1) <sup>b</sup>	24	94.2	29	99.0	29	106.0	30	111.9	34	111.9	34	111.9	34	111.9	
AgNO <sub>3</sub>	87.3	230	91.3 (89.3) <sup>a</sup>	235	96.0	238	101.6	238	106.9	245	111.6	245	111.6	245	111.6	245	111.6	
DMSO																		
Bu <sub>4</sub> NBPh <sub>4</sub>	20.9	—	23.3 (22.24) <sup>c</sup>	—	25.7	—	28.2 (27.7) <sup>e</sup>	21	31.1	22	33.5	23	33.5	23	33.5	23	33.5	
Bu <sub>4</sub> NNO <sub>3</sub>	34.8	—	38.5 (38.7) <sup>d</sup>	13	42.3	13	46.5	14	48.6	14	53.0	15	53.0	15	53.0	15	53.0	
LiNO <sub>3</sub>	34.2	—	37.8 (38.2) <sup>d</sup>	10	41.7	12	45.8	13	50.5	16	52.5	18	52.5	18	52.5	18	52.5	
NaNO <sub>3</sub>	36.8	17	40.7 (40.8) <sup>b</sup>	21	45.0	21	49.5	22	52.0	23	56.6	27	56.6	27	56.6	27	56.6	
KNO <sub>3</sub>	38.0	11	41.2 (41.5) <sup>b</sup>	14	45.5	17	49.8	18	53.8	19	58.4	20	58.4	20	58.4	20	58.4	
AgNO <sub>3</sub>	38.7	—	43.1 (43.2) <sup>d</sup>	—	47.0	—	51.5	14	54.6	14	59.1	16	59.1	16	59.1	16	59.1	

<sup>a</sup> Ref.<sup>17</sup>; <sup>b</sup> ref.<sup>18</sup>, <sup>c</sup> ref.<sup>19</sup>; <sup>d</sup> ref.<sup>20</sup>; <sup>e</sup> ref.<sup>21</sup>.

TABLE V  
The  $\lambda_i^0$  (S cm<sup>2</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup>) values for ions in DMF and DMSO at different temperatures (°C)

Ion	DMF					DMSO						
	20	25	30	35	40	45	20	25	30	35	40	45
Li <sup>+</sup>	24.2	26.8	30.9	35.2	39.6	42.5	10.4	11.5	12.9	14.1	15.5	17.1
Na <sup>+</sup>	28.7	31.0	32.9	35.9	38.9	42.6	13.0	14.4	16.2	17.8	19.7	21.1
K <sup>+</sup>	29.9	32.8	36.0	37.6	41.6	44.3	13.4	14.8	16.7	18.1	21.5	23.0
Ag <sup>+</sup>	33.9	35.6	37.8	40.2	42.5	44.0	14.9	16.8	18.2	19.8	22.3	23.7
NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup>	53.4	55.7	58.2	61.4	64.4	67.6	23.8	26.3	28.8	31.7	32.2	35.4
	(52.6) <sup>b</sup>	(55.4) <sup>b</sup>	(59.1) <sup>b</sup>	(62.8) <sup>b</sup>	(65.0) <sup>b</sup>							
Bu <sub>4</sub> N <sup>+</sup>	25.7	27.3	28.9	30.8	32.8	34.5	11.0	12.2	13.5	14.8	16.3	17.6
Ph <sub>4</sub> B <sup>-</sup>	23.5	24.9	26.5	28.2	30.0	31.7	9.9	11.1	12.2	13.4	14.8	15.9

<sup>a</sup> Ref.<sup>9</sup>; <sup>b</sup> ref.<sup>13</sup>.

TABLE VI  
The  $r_i$  (nm) values for ions in DMF and DMSO at different temperatures (°C)

Ion	DMF					DMSO						
	20	25	30	35	40	45	20	25	30	35	40	45
$\text{Li}^+$	0.50	0.50	0.47	0.45	0.43	0.43	0.52	0.52	0.52	0.51	0.51	0.50
$\text{Na}^+$	0.46	0.45	0.45	0.44	0.44	0.42	0.45	0.45	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44
$\text{K}^+$	0.45	0.43	0.43	0.42	0.41	0.41	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.43	0.44	0.43
$\text{Ag}^+$	0.41	0.41	0.41	0.41	0.41	0.41	0.41	0.41	0.41	0.41	0.41	0.41

$$r_i = 0.82/\lambda_i^0 \eta_0 + (0.0103 D + r_y) \quad (3)$$

Equation (3) is an empirical modification of the Stokes' law suggested by Gill<sup>14</sup> to obtain the effective ionic radii in non-aqueous solvents by addition of a term proportional to dielectric constant  $D$  of the solvent. The  $r_i$  values for  $\text{Li}^+$ ,  $\text{Na}^+$ ,  $\text{K}^+$  and  $\text{Ag}^+$  ions are reported in Table VI.

### *Solvation of $\text{Li}^+$ , $\text{Na}^+$ , $\text{K}^+$ and $\text{Ag}^+$ Ions in DMF and DMSO*

The crystallographic radii of  $\text{Li}^+$ ,  $\text{Na}^+$ ,  $\text{K}^+$  and  $\text{Ag}^+$  ions are equal to 0.06, 0.095, 0.133 and 0.126 nm, respectively<sup>2</sup>. They decrease in the order  $\text{K}^+ > \text{Ag}^+ > \text{Na}^+ > \text{Li}^+$ . From simple electrostatic considerations it is, therefore, expected that the effective ionic radii  $r_i$  of these ions in DMF and DMSO should decrease in the order  $\text{Li}^+ > \text{Na}^+ > \text{Ag}^+ > \text{K}^+$ . However, a pursual of Table VI indicates that at all temperatures the  $r_i$  values of these ions decrease in the order:  $\text{Li}^+ > \text{Na}^+ \approx \text{K}^+ > \text{Ag}^+$  contrary to expectations.

Another striking feature of Table VI is that the  $r_i$  value of  $\text{Ag}^+$  ion, equal to 0.41 nm, is independent on temperature in both DMF and DMSO. Similarly  $r_i$  values of  $\text{Li}^+$  ion ( $\approx 0.51$  nm)  $\text{Na}^+$  and  $\text{K}^+$  ions ( $\approx 0.44$  nm) were found to be independent on temperature in DMSO. In DMF, however,  $r_i$  values of  $\text{Li}^+$ ,  $\text{Na}^+$  and  $\text{K}^+$  ions decrease with rising temperature.

If we take an account of free energy of solvation,  $\Delta G_S(\text{M}^+)$  of  $\text{K}^+$  and  $\text{Ag}^+$  ions in DMF and DMSO ( $\Delta G_S(\text{K}^+) = -82.8$  kcal/g ion\* in DMF and  $-83.5$  kcal/g ion in DMSO, ref.<sup>15</sup>) and free energy of transfer  $\Delta G_{\text{tr}}(\text{M}^+)$  of  $\text{K}^+$  ion from water to DMF and DMSO ( $\Delta G_{\text{tr}}(\text{K}^+) = -2.2$  kcal/g ion in DMF and  $-2.5$  kcal/g ion in DMSO, ref.<sup>15</sup>) one finds that  $\text{K}^+$  ion follows the same pattern of solvation in both DMF and DMSO. It is therefore interesting to note that like  $\text{Ag}^+$  ion,  $r_i$  value of  $\text{K}^+$  ion is independent on temperature in DMSO.

Parker et al.<sup>15</sup> have shown that the solvents which solvate cations through oxygen, the main factors influencing solvation of  $\text{K}^+$  and  $\text{Ag}^+$  ions are primarily of electrostatic nature modified by structural effects due to the solvent-solvent interaction. Since there is an evidence for molecular association in DMSO due to the dipole-dipole interaction<sup>1</sup>, constant  $r_i$  value of  $\text{K}^+$  ion in DMSO thus supports this conjecture. Similar arguments holds with respect to constant  $r_i$  for  $\text{Li}^+$  and  $\text{Na}^+$  in DMSO.

From free energy of solvation,  $\Delta G_S(\text{M}^+)$  for  $\text{Ag}^+$  ion in DMF and DMSO ( $\Delta G_S(\text{Ag}^+) = -118.6$  kcal/gramion in DMF and  $-122.5$  kcal/gramion in DMSO, ref.<sup>15</sup>) and that of

\* 1 kcal = 4.184 kJ.

$K^+$  ion as reported above, it is further evident that  $Ag^+$  ion, as compared to  $K^+$  ion, is much more solvated by DMF and DMSO. Moreover, a comparison of  $\Delta G_{tr}(M^+)$  for  $K^+$  ion with  $Ag^+$  ion from water to dimethylthioformamide (SDMF), DMSO and HMPT indicate some specific interactions of  $Ag^+$  ion but not of  $K^+$  ion with these solvents<sup>15</sup>. Constant  $r_i$  value of  $Ag^+$  ion in DMF and DMSO over the entire temperature range reported in Table VI appears to indicate that in addition to electrostatic effects,  $Ag^+$  ion undergoes some specific interactions with DMF and DMSO.

Now, turning to the effective ionic radii of  $NO_3^-$ ,  $Bu_4N^+$  and  $Ph_4B^-$  ions, it has been found that their  $r_i$  values in DMF and DMSO are equal to  $\approx 0.32$  nm,  $\approx 0.50$  nm and  $\approx 0.53$  nm, respectively, in the temperature range of 20 to 45 °C. Moreover, these values have been found to be very close to their crystallographic size<sup>9,12</sup>. It can be therefore concluded that these ions are unsolvated in DMF and DMSO. Anions and large ions are known to be unsolvated in dipolar aprotic solvents<sup>1,16</sup>.

*Research Grant-in-Aid from CSIR, New Delhi is gratefully acknowledged. S.C. and M.S. thanks CSIR for the award of Research Associateship and Senior Research Fellowship, respectively. Thanks are also expressed to the referees for their critical and useful suggestions.*

## REFERENCES

1. Parker A. J.: Q. Rev., Chem. Soc. 16, 163 (1962); Chem. Rev. 69, 1 (1969).
2. Pauling L.: *The Nature of the Chemical Bond*, 3rd ed. Oxford University Press, Oxford 1963.
3. Gill D. S.: J. Solution Chem. 8, 691 (1979).
4. Berns B. S., Fuoss R. M.: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 83, 1321 (1961).
5. Accascina F., Petrucci S., Fuoss R. M.: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 81, 1301 (1959).
6. Lind J. E., jr., Zwolenik J. S., Fuoss R. M.: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 81, 1537 (1959).
7. Shedlovsky T.: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 54, 1411 (1932).
8. Leader G. R., Gomley J. F.: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 73, 5731 (1951).
9. Yao N. P., Bennion D. N.: J. Electrochem. Soc. 118, 1097 (1971).
10. Fuoss R. M., Shedlovsky T.: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 71, 1496 (1949).
11. Fuoss R. M., Accascina F.: *Electrolytic Conductance*. Interscience, New York 1959.
12. Gill D. S., Cheema J. S.: Z. Phys. Chem., N. F. 134, 205 (1983).
13. Gill D. S., Bakshi M. S.: Z. Phys. Chem., N. F. 163, 93 (1990).
14. Gill D. S.: Electrochim. Acta 22, 491 (1977); 24, 701 (1979).
15. Owensby D. A., Parker A. J., Diggle J. W.: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 96, 2681 (1974).
16. Prue J. E., Sherrington P. J.: Trans. Faraday Soc. 57, 1795 (1961).
17. Gill D. S., Cheema J. S.: Electrochim. Acta 27, 755, 1267 (1982).
18. Kratochvil B., Yeager H. L.: Top. Curr. Chem. 27, 1 (1972).
19. Gill D. S., Chauhan S., Chauhan M. S.: Z. Phys. Chem., N. F. 150, 113 (1986).
20. Syal V. K., Chauhan S., Katoch A., Chauhan M. S.: Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun. 56, 1803 (1991).
21. Chauhan M. S., Sharma K. C., Gupta S., Chauhan S., Syal V. K.: Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun. 57, 2078 (1992).